

Australian and US Patent Attorneys

Commissioner of Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450 United States of America

By EFS

**In Re Application of:** Keith James Hensel **US Application Number:** 10/575,847

Filing Date: 14 April 2006 Title: Citrus Reamer and Press

**Group Art Unit: 3742** 

**Examiner:** Simone, Timothy F **Attorney Docket No:** BRE0326U

12 December 2011

## **Response After Final Rejection**

Dear Sirs,

We refer to the Examiner's Final Office Action dated 9 December 2011. One month's extension fee is attached to this response.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-3, 5 and 9 (in part) on the basis of the Clark reference, US 2,588,906. However, the Examiner has made grave factual errors in the interpretation. These errors have resulted in a serious prejudice against the Applicant. It is requested that prosecution be re-opened on the merits and that the Final Office Action be withdrawn. A partial list of the Examiner's errors is provided below:

- 1. The Examiner states that Clark teaches an electric citrus press. It is not an electric citrus press. There is no motor and there is no current. It is a manual device.
- 2. The Examiner contends that Clark teaches a rotating reamer. It does not.